

P-430, 421, 407, 405, 426/CI-90-442 ORDER ALLOWING TIME EXTENSION

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Darrel L. Peterson  
Cynthia A. Kitlinski  
Norma McKanna  
Robert J. O'Keefe  
Patrice M. Vick

Chair  
Commissioner  
Commissioner  
Commissioner  
Commissioner

In the Matter of a Commission  
Investigation into Extended Area  
Service between Norwood and the  
Minneapolis/St. Paul  
Metropolitan Calling Area

ISSUE DATE: December 17, 1990

DOCKET NO. P-430, 421, 407, 405,  
426/CI-90-442

ORDER ALLOWING TIME EXTENSION

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

On April 27, 1990, the Minnesota legislature enacted legislation regulating the installation of extended area service (EAS) in Minnesota. The legislation specifies the circumstances under which the expansion of the Minneapolis/St. Paul metropolitan extended area telephone service is required. Minn. Stat. § 237.161 (1990).

On August 6, 1990, the Commission issued its ORDER INITIATING INVESTIGATION in the current docket. In that Order, the Commission noted that Norwood, among other exchanges, had a central office located within the seven county metropolitan area but did not currently have EAS. Although Norwood had not petitioned for metropolitan EAS, the Commission on its own initiative ordered an investigation into the possible expansion of EAS between Norwood and the metropolitan calling area. In its August 6 Order the Commission directed United Telephone Company, which serves the Norwood exchange, and the telephone companies which serve the metropolitan calling area to file cost studies and proposed rates for EAS between Norwood and the metropolitan calling area.

On September 20, 1990, the telephone companies filed cost studies and proposed rates for Norwood metro EAS. These filings were later revised by the companies.

On November 26, 1990, the Department of Public Service (the Department) filed a request for an extension of its 45 day comment period from December 14, 1990 until December 28, 1990. The Department stated that it would need the time extension to assess the updated cost and rate filings and to file a complete Report and Recommendation.

The Commission met to consider the matter on December 4, 1990.

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Commission finds that the Department's request for an extension of time is reasonable and should be granted. The reasons for the request are sound. The amount of time sought is reasonable. Neither the public interest nor any party's interests will be prejudiced by the delay.

The extension will be granted.

## ORDER

1. The Department is granted an extension of time until December 28, 1990 to file a report and recommendation in the above-captioned matter.
2. Parties may file comments in response to the Department's report and recommendation through January 17, 1991.
3. This Order shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Richard R. Lancaster  
Executive Secretary

(S E A L)